

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Heber M. Wells Building, 2ND Floor
160 EAST 300 SOUTH
SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH 84114

BEFORE THE DIVISION OF CONSUMER PROTECTION
OF THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
OF THE STATE OF UTAH

IN THE MATTER OF:

PURDUE PHARMA, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership; **PURDUE PHARMA, INC.**, a New York corporation; **THE PURDUE FREDERICK COMPANY**, a Delaware corporation; **RICHARD SACKLER, M.D.**, individually and as an owner, officer director, member, principal, manager and/or key employee of the above named entities; and **KATHE SACKLER, M.D.**, individually and as an owner, officer, director, member, principal, manager and/or key employee of the above named entities,

Respondents.

**NOTICE OF ADDITIONAL
PREHEARING CONFERENCE**

Case No. **CP-2019-005**

DCP Case No. 107102

On July 22, 2019, the Executive Director of the Department of Commerce issued an Order extending the date for the administrative hearing in this administrative proceeding. Based upon such Order, it is necessary that a new scheduling order be entered in this administrative proceeding.

In the pleadings of the parties related to the recent Request to Presiding Officer to Seek Extension and Continuance, the parties expressed divergent views as to the status of discovery in this matter. Purdue asserted that, notwithstanding having submitted requests for production of documents, the Division had not produced a single document in this proceeding. Purdue further asserted that the Division has not facilitated discovery in a number of ways. The Division, on

the other hand, stated that it had participated in multiple meetings to confer on discovery and that the Division was cooperating in the discovery process. As of the date of this notice, no party has filed a motion to compel discovery in the case.

Based upon the foregoing, and good cause appearing,


IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. An additional prehearing conference will take place in this matter pursuant to R151-4-510 on Monday, July 29, 2019 at 10:00 a.m. This hearing is intended to be a telephonic conference, and it is not necessary that counsel appear in person (although local counsel may participate in person if they desire to do so). Those attending in person will appear in room 250 of the Heber M. Wells building. Parties participating by phone should designate a single counsel to participate in the teleconference by phone and provide the administrative law judge, prior to the conference, with a telephone number to use in the conference.
2. At the time of the conference, an Amended Scheduling Order will be finalized. A copy of a draft Amended Scheduling Order is transmitted to counsel for the parties concurrently with this Notice.
3. Each party will report at the conference the status of their own discovery and their response to opposing party discovery which has been addressed to them.
4. In the April 23, 2019 Scheduling Order originally entered in this matter, the Division was directed to provide a good faith listing of the alleged misrepresentations that it claims have been made by the Respondents. A copy of this list is to be provided to the administrative law judge at the July 29th conference.

5. Each of the parties is to submit to the administrative law judge at the July 29th conference, a written list of the agreed depositions that have been scheduled in this proceeding.
6. Other additional prehearing conferences will be scheduled to assure that discovery is proceeding unimpeded.
7. Because of the nature of the conference, the proceedings will be recorded electronically and a court reporter will not be employed.

DATED July 23rd, 2019.

UTAH DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE



Bruce L. Dobb, Presiding Officer

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have the 23rd day of July, 2019, served the foregoing by email on:

Chris Parker
Acting Director/Presiding Officer
Division of Consumer Protection
chrisparker@utah.gov

Purdue Pharma, L.P.
Purdue Pharma, Inc., and
The Purdue Frederick Company,
(the "Purdue Respondents"),
through counsel
Elisabeth McOmber
Katherine R. Nichols
SNELL & WILMER
emcomber@swlaw.com
knichols@swlaw.com

Purdue Respondents, through counsel
Will Sachse, Sara Roitman, Erik Snapp
DECHERT LLP
will.sachse@dechert.com
sara.roitman@dechert.com
erik.snapp@dechert.com

Richard Sackler, and
Kathe Sackler, through counsel
Patrick E. Johnson
Paul T. Moxley
Timothy J. Bywater
COHNE KINGHORN
pjohnson@ck.law
pmoxley@ck.law
tbywater@ck.law

Richard Sackler, through counsel
Douglas J. Pepe, Gregory P. Joseph
Christopher J. Stanley, Mara Leventhal
Roman Asudulayev
JOSEPH HAGE AARONSON LLC
dpepe@jha.com, gjoseph@jha.com
cstanley@jha.com, mleventhal@jha.com
rasudulayev@jha.com

Kathe Sackler, through counsel
Maura Monaghan, Susan Gittes
Jacob Stahl
DEBEVOISE & PLIMPTON LLP
mkmonaghan@debevoise.com
srgittes@debevoise.com
jwstahl@debevoise.com

Robert G. Wing, AAG
Kevin McLean, AAG
rwing@agutah.gov
kmclean@agutah.gov
Counsel for the Division

Linda Singer, Elizabeth Smith
Lisa Saltzburg, David Ackerman
MOTLEY RICE LLC
lsinger@motleyrice.com
esmith@motleyrice.com
lsaltzburg@motleyrice.com
dackerman@motleyrice.com
Counsel for the Division

N. Majed Nachawati, Matthew R. McCarley
Misty Farris, Jonathan Novak, Ann Saucer
FEARS NACHAWATI, PLLC
mn@fnlawfirm.com,
mccarley@fnlawfirm.com
mfarris@fnlawfirm.com
jnovak@fnlawfirm.com
asaucer@fnlawfirm.com
Counsel for the Division

Glenn R. Bronson
Richard Brandt Miller & Nelson
Glenn-Bronson@rbmn.com

/s/ Bruce Dibb